

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/731,556	12/08/2003	Timothy D. Sporre	758.1631US01	5063	
7590 01/04/2006			EXAM	INER	
Merchant & Gould P.C.			PHAM, MINH CHAU THI		
P.O. Box 2903 Minneapolis, MN 55402-0903			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
• ,			1724		
			DATE MAILED: 01/04/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/731,55	56	SPORRE ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit		
		Minh-Cha		1724		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addı	'ess	
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by seply received by the Office later than three months after the reply adaptant term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THE REPORT OF THE RE	IIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tim II expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	L. lely filed the mailing date of this com (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Q</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und	This action is nowance except	for formal matters, pro		nerits is	
Dispositi	on of Claims	•				
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati	Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) 1-8 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 9-19 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction are on Papers The specification is objected to by the Exar	ndrawn from co	equirement.			
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co The oath or declaration is objected to by th	the drawing(s) borrection is require	e held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR	• •	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
2) D Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE r No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/6/05 &amp; 7/5/05</u> .	3) 3/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		152)	

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 9-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by either the Switzerland Patent (CH 689632 A5) or Axelsson et al (4,033,732).

The Switzerland reference teaches an apparatus for cleaning the air filters having a valve (31) comprising a valve body (30) defining a fluid passage (see Fig. 2), a mounting flange (33) interconnected to the valve body (30), a plurality of openings (39) formed between the mounting flange and the valve body (30), the openings being in fluid communication with the fluid passage of the valve body and a diaphragm (32) selectively positionable in open and closed positions to control fluid communication through the fluid passage of the valve body (see details of Fig. 2). Axelsson et al teach an apparatus for cleaning fabric filters of bag type with a reverse flow of a gaseous medium where cleaning action is achieved by applying gaseous medium to the surface of the fabric opposite the dust collection surface in pulses reaching a high maximum value in as short a time as possible comprising a valve means comprising a valve body defining a fluid passage, a mounting flange interconnected to the valve body, a plurality of openings formed between the mounting flange and the valve body, the openings being in fluid communication with the fluid passage of the valve body and a diaphragm (11) selectively positionable in open and closed positions to control fluid communication

Art Unit: 1724

through the fluid passage of the valve body (see details of Fig. 5, col. 3, line 39 through col. 4, line 49).

Regarding to the "wedge construction" of the diffuser arrangement of claim 9, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to design the diffuser to have any kind of configuration such as wedges, or circular or conical shapes or any other designs since it is well settled that mere change of shape without affecting the function of the part would have been an obvious design modification. Eskimo Pie Corp v. Levous et al 3 USPQ 23.

Regarding to claim 19, the limitations in the preamble have not been given patentable weight because it has been held that a preamble is denied the effect of a limitation where the claim is drawn to a structure and the portion of the claim following the preamble is a self-contained description of the structure not depending for completeness upon the introductory clause. Kropa v. Robie, 88 USPQ 478 (CCPA <u>1951)</u>.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-8 allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: None of the prior arts discloses a filter cleaning system comprising a nozzle including a diffuser that separates the primary fluid jet into mulitiple jets, and a blowpipe that provides fluid communication between the nozzle and the valve wherein the blowpipe is configured to position the nozzle adjacent to the front of the filter and to position the valve adjacent to the back of the filter.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on July 5, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argues that none of the cited references Bach et al and Elliott et al discloses the limitations as claimed in claims 9-19. The Examiner now drops both the Bach et al and Elliott et al references and newly introduces the Switzerland Patent (CH 689632 A5) or Axelsson et al (4,033,732) under the 102(b) rejections to show that: The Switzerland reference teaches an apparatus for cleaning the air filters having a valve (31) comprising a valve body (30) defining a fluid passage (see Fig. 2), a mounting flange (33) interconnected to the valve body (30), a plurality of openings (39) formed between the mounting flange and the valve body (30), the openings being in fluid communication with the fluid passage of the valve body and a diaphragm (32) selectively positionable in open and closed positions to control fluid communication through the fluid passage of the valve body (see details of Fig. 2). Axelsson et al teach an apparatus for cleaning fabric filters of bag type with a reverse flow of a gaseous medium where cleaning action is achieved by applying gaseous medium to the surface of the fabric opposite the dust collection surface in pulses reaching a high maximum value in as short a time as possible comprising a valve means comprising a valve body

col. 4, line 49).

defining a fluid passage, a mounting flange interconnected to the valve body, a plurality of openings formed between the mounting flange and the valve body, the openings being in fluid communication with the fluid passage of the valve body and a diaphragm (11) selectively positionable in open and closed positions to control fluid communication through the fluid passage of the valve body (see details of Fig. 5, col. 3, line 39 through

Page 5

Regarding to the "wedge construction" of the diffuser arrangement of claim 9, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to design the diffuser to have any kind of configuration such as wedges, or circular or conical shapes or any other designs since it is well settled that mere change of shape without affecting the function of the part would have been an obvious design modification. Eskimo Pie Corp v. Levous et al 3 USPQ 23.

Regarding to claim 19, the limitations in the preamble have not been given patentable weight because it has been held that a preamble is denied the effect of a limitation where the claim is drawn to a structure and the portion of the claim following the preamble is a self-contained description of the structure not depending for completeness upon the introductory clause. Kropa v. Robie, 88 USPQ 478 (CCPA 1951).

Claims 1-8 is allowed and the reason for allowance is indicated above.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 9-19 have been thoroughly considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection as discussed above. Application/Control Number: 10/731,556 Page 6

Art Unit: 1724

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minh-Chau T. Pham whose telephone number is (571) 272-1163. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon/Tues/Thur/Fri 7:00 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on (571) 272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Minh-Chau Pham Patent Examiner

Art Unit: 1724

**December 29, 2005**